

pointed out, I didn't hear it all, would want to say that there has to be this life and death struggle that the woman puts up before you have objective evidence that she did not consent. All that I understand. There was a judge who released a guy because he said the woman didn't fight hard enough. She resisted, she fought but she didn't fight hard enough, so that's what we're talking about. And I didn't hear everything Senator Brown said, but if she's offended at my amendment, I don't know if that's what it is, I have other amendments that I'm going to offer too, and I said it in the beginning and I know what this is we're dealing with, but I'm going to offer my amendments. What I'm doing on this is really explaining what the bill does and I look like Senator Crosby did at what we did to children and I didn't see this kind of anger and indignation because little children were being hurt, but on something like this, it's faddish to say, oh, I'm so offended because somebody offered the kind of amendment I offered. You ain't seen nothing yet. You will see that when I make a promise I'm going to keep it and Senator Landis even challenged me with his young, rambunctious, impertinent self, so I accept the challenge. But you'll notice I'm using the opportunity to try to explain what some of this language is designed for. You may not agree with it. You may not agree with the explanation of it and there are some people I'm sure who would have a problem with the way this language is drafted because it could create a situation where a rape did not occur but that was the allegation. And the way I feel about that, just like I do abusing a child, the offense is so repugnant and the punishment is so severe or should be that the only ones who should be convicted are those who actually did it, and that's what I want to see, and I don't care how offended anybody gets. And I say this, if a woman is grinning and shaking her booty at a man and saying no, she doesn't mean no, and you can tell me all night long that you want to, she said no and her words ought to outweigh what she is doing. See, we're not talking about little children here. We're talking about grown people. But the point I'm making is that when a woman says no under circumstances where a person of ordinary intelligence knows she means no that's all she has to do, but if she does things that indicates that she doesn't mean no, and I'm going to bring it right down where it is because some men have been in this situation, she's grabbing my joint, as they call it, or my "johnson" or my penis if you want it, and says no and I say, well, I didn't believe she meant no, and they say, well, no matter what the circumstance, if she says no it's no, that's not acceptable to me. She cannot be engaging in the kind of