

needs tinkering, it can be tinkered with next year. Now some of those sections I'd disagree. For example, on the use of the motor vehicle section that Senator Beutler and Chambers interpretation of that, but it's something I'd be willing to delay the operative date of to make sure that everybody is comfortable with it. Those that are the large question marks I'm willing to delay operative date on it. Because as I mentioned, there are some things I would have preferred to go into in depth and that's one. For example, if it did...I could be dead wrong. I mean I'm certainly aware of that. Senators Beutler and Chambers could be wrong on that. But if it's something that needed fine tuning, of course, that is, as you've mentioned, that is the beauty of having debate is that those things can be worked out. To answer your question, yes, I am open to that.

SENATOR BROMM: It seems to me that that might be a possible way to handle it. For example, the sections dealing with changing the provisions on good time and offering some incentive for people to try to participate in some positive activities is, you know, I haven't heard too many problems about that and I can't find too many problems in there. There may be some but it looks like it's worth having fairly soon. But then there are some inconsistencies in a couple of the other sections that I think would make us...that we would really live to regret having passed. So if that could be worked out, I think that would be something worth pursuing if possible. And I would encourage you to do that. I'd have one other quick question if I could. The bill, the form that the bill is in now, have groups like the County Attorneys Association or defense attorneys or judges had a chance to comment or reflect on their observations on these provisions? Could you share any of that information with me?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I assume they have and the reason I say I assume because the bill has been printed since January, committee amendments were printed, anything that was adopted in committee was taken from other amendments that had been previously printed in the Journal.

SENATOR BROMM: Have you heard from any of those groups?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I've not heard objections with the exception of what Senator Beutler and Hudkins, I believe, have mentioned with regards to the good time provision and we've discussed that a little bit on the floor.