

SENATOR LINDSAY: Are there further lights to discuss?

CLERK: You know which one we're on? This is the one that you had drafted after we...the body...

SENATOR LINDSAY: Oh, that one.

CLERK: ...had adopted...

SENATOR LINDSAY: That can go away.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: It is withdrawn.

CLERK: In that case, Madam President, Senator Chambers, the next amendment is from you. (Chambers amendment, FA304, appears on page 2542 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, Mr. Clerk, is that amendment numbered 1, up in the corner?

CLERK: Yes, sir, it is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Madam President, members of the Legislature, this amendment would be on page 1 of what has been called the Lindsay amendment. On page 1, it would strike the lines 7 through 10, and those lines say the following, talking about what juvenile services shall do; "Design the table of organization for the office by designing the functional specifications for the operation of the office, and managing the process of change as programs, functions and services are transferred to the office". This is language that carries no meaning whatsoever, and I think it serves no purpose in this amendment and it serves no purpose in the existing law. This is not new language, so what my amendment should say, Mr. Clerk, it says "strike" and it should say "and show as stricken". Oh, this is AM2507 that I'm working from. And the reason it has to say "strike and show as stricken", if it simply says "strike", as mine does, it would remove it from this amendment but it would not remove it from the law. So, if it says "strike and show as stricken" and it's adopted, then this language with the stricken...with the line striking through it would then become a part of Senator Lindsay's amendment and ultimately a part of 371. And in that form it would strike this language from the