

meet the exact things that we're talking about on the floor and they should end up logically at the same place but they wouldn't have their hands tied behind because of a boundary line on the ground, because of a geography, a geographical consideration. I fully expect that it could end up in Sarpy County or northern Lancaster or wherever.

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I think that would make sense and that's where I would see it, and I would probably be as disappointed as Senator Chambers if it ended up in far western Nebraska in an isolated area where transportation was a problem. Again I run the risk of offending the rest of you in the state, but I just couldn't also see myself pinning itself down to exactly the spot as based in this body. And I don't really see all the ramifications of Senator Chambers. I'm sorry if he sees that, at least in my vote, and I don't think it's in most of votes, Senator Chambers. That's where I would disagree with you and I guess we have a right to disagree but that's the way I feel.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Lindsay, your light is next. Yield to Bernard-Stevens. Senator Bernard-Stevens, you are recognized.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, I'd like to continue, then I'll file my motion to reconsider. The other portion of that I had hoped we had put behind us, but obviously we haven't and I want to bring it up because I want to make sure the members of the body understand it. That juvenile justice bill was a very, very complex thing to put together because of the competing entities involved and those that have never experienced the competition in juvenile justice, you know, you'd be mind boggling. Part of the problem is, I dare say, competition between Douglas County and Sarpy County. Part of the problem is economic development competition. In fact, if you go back and look at the record and I talk to record by what you probably have on your files if you keep them on the issue, during last summer the Department of Corrections even sent out a letter and there was a lot of debate as to why and what the rationale is, but some of the people received the letter statewide asking if they wanted to put in a bid for the secure facility even though it was going to be in Omaha and I don't know why that happened to be honest with you. I think what the underlying reason was, they thought if they could get some bids that were pretty low,