

concerns, if they are, in fact, valid concerns. I don't think that...I think there will be an increase in cases. I think any time you change the good-time laws there are because the new parameters have to be set. I disagree that it is going to be such a huge influx that any new personnel would be needed or anything like that because when we talk about the personalized nature, the individual nature of these, right now good time is administered on a case-by-case basis because good time can be taken away, and it is taken away through disciplinary procedures that I do not believe are subject, and Senator Chambers might be able to confirm this, disciplinary procedures that are not subject to judicial review. So I think there are, well, there will not...

SENATOR HALL: Time. Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Abboud, your light is next. Senator Abboud is out of the Chamber. We will move to Senator Pirsch. Your light is next.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and I do have some questions for Senator Lindsay if he would yield.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Lindsay, would you respond.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Senator Lindsay, while you are going to your chair, I will begin. I am not quite sure what...what purpose we are serving by this substitute amendment. Your...we have a bill before us now, the E & R copy of the bill with various provisions that the Judiciary Committee put out plus the amendments that we adopted in the...on General File. What is your intent to be accomplished by going...you are going to go through every section of the bill?

SENATOR LINDSAY: The major...no, Senator Pirsch, those sections that members have come to me and said these are the areas that concern me. I want to make sure...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Then why didn't they put up an amendment to abolish them or change them?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Some of them did, but the fact is...

SENATOR PIRSCH: But you are putting them together to discuss, so we can discuss the contentions points.