

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the bill will be taken first, we have a starting point. Then the other two sets of amendments can fall into chronological order based on when they were filed, in disregard of whether they were to the first set or the second set. Naturally, that is going to be considered but we have a chronology that I think would help us, and maybe what I have said is more confusing than what the situation might have been before I spoke, but I am trying to articulate why I will vote to sustain the Chair.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Maurstad, your light is next.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. President. It has been brought to my attention...

SENATOR HALL: Senator Maurstad, I apologize, you are out of order. You've spoken once. You will be able to close on your motion.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: I am out of order.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Schellpeper, your light is next.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Thank you, Senator Hall, and members. I rise to support the Chair also. I think it is the only thing we can do in order to have any kind of a plan or any kind of a workable solution to the whole bill. I think if you don't, you are going to be having amendments on some amendments that aren't really the right amendment. So I think the only way we can do it is to do it just like the Chair has recommended, and so I would vote or support not overruling the Chair. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Schellpeper. Senator Bernard-Stevens, your light is next.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Hall. Members of the legislature, I, too, rise to support the Chair's decision and I know it is complicated. And Senator Chambers makes me nervous but I did follow your analysis and it is a correct analysis. As we have used the cloture rule more and more, we are going to find that these types of things will begin to