

been there. When I suggested about a study, that is in progress, has been for a number of years. That was not intended on my part to use the old trick of, well, we have to study this, as a delay tactic, but it was meant that a better basis of information on any issue before, but particularly tax issues, can be beneficial. And then finally, this might be a sleeper, Senator Beutler was talking about property tax. Those of you who looked at the handout that I sent out when the LR 93CA was introduced, asked to have you vote to introduce it, one of the issues in that bill or I mean in that information sheet very specifically said...was to be reviewing the corporate income tax. So it's not a dead issue with me. I quite agree that that issue, as well as a whole lot of others, are going to before us over the next year as to their proper balance. And again this bill, I'm comfortable with it on the basis that, at least what I know, that the sales only apportionment for determining income tax is consistent. This bill is consistent with that policy, and for those reasons I do support it, but I have...again want to say I appreciate Senator Landis pointing out to us the conditions at the time it was done, because I tend to...any of us that have been here for a while experience that time and time again where something is worked out that seems fair to get passed and then it doesn't take long before turnover, and memories and a whole series of things change it, and that is just how the system works. But I would hope the bill would be advanced and again I just wanted to indicate we attempt to provide a policy basis for changes rather than some of the other things that we generally get to talking about.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Maurstad, your light is next. Senator Witek. There are no other lights to speak to the motion to advance. There's been a request for a...was it a board vote on the issue? The question is the advancement to E & R for engrossing for LB 559. All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record vote has been requested. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read record vote as found on page 2504 of the Legislative Journal.) 37 ayes, 4 nays on the advancement.

SENATOR HALL: The bill is advanced. Items for the record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, explanation of vote from Senator Lindsay. Proposed rules change by Senator Withem. Communication from the