

that deadly force is justified but the criminal is not threatening the life of the officer, but is holding the child to keep the officer from approaching him, let's say he has...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I don't know all the details of the law in some of those situations.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would think that they would not do that unless there was a compelling reason to do so. I guess I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...don't yet see the analogy. I mean, I understand...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now I'm going to...

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...the vague outlines of the analogy but I'm not sure I'm going to agree with your analogy once you get there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I know you're not going to agree with me because you've already taken your position. Now to bring it to the situation we're discussing, it's all right and justified to harm the child to get at the recalcitrant parent in the circumstances of this bill. That is your view, isn't it? If...you said it's too bad you can't separate the harm to the child from the desire to make the parent cooperate, or words to that effect.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I think society is in a dilemma that it has been struggling with for 20 or 30 years and that dilemma is do we really believe that the family is the sacrosanct thing that we have always known it to be? Because more and more historically in the last decade we have interfered with the family relationship. Almost always the entry into that interference or the justification for that interference has been the protection of the child, and...and so you cannot...children who are born into families are, by virtue of that fact, unequally treated.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.