

maintain, which ones we want to modify. I want to do that too and so I think do the movers of the Bromm-Bohlke amendment. We all want to come back after a summer of looking at all of these. In fact, I got the amendment for \$30,000 for the Department of Education and the state board to do their study on special education specifically. We're all going to get together towards the summer and at the end in the fall and come up to some policy decisions on what, (A), rules and regs are going to be needed to be waived, what can be waived, what statutes have to be changed. And Senator Stuhr, I think, has an amendment filed, if not, I know she was thinking about it, that would also have the Department of Education come back with a cost impact, a fiscal impact as to, okay, if we do reduce it and have no growth or wherever we do, what will the fiscal impact be on the rules and regs that we can waive, and can we waive enough to give enough flexibility to the local districts? And, Senator Stuhr, I'll certainly be supporting you on that amendment because it makes sense, but notice what her amendment does. Her amendment will be saying that, you know, after we look at all these things we should have a fiscal impact so we know what it is, what actually the fiscal effect is going to be, which indicates something, ladies and gentlemen. We don't know now. We have no idea now. Senator Bohlke can't tell you now. Senator Bromm can't tell you now. I can't tell you. No one can tell you. So what we have is simply an indication of, (A), do we want to cut spending? Yes. Do we want to put a cap? Yes. Do we want to go ahead and have some growth in there? Right now, the answer is no. I'm hoping the answer will be yes. If it's not at the 5 percent, maybe it's some other area. And what will happen if we give them a 3.5 or 4 or 5 percent growth? What will happen? Budgets will be reduced. Staff are going to have to be looked at for the next year that will be coming, for the year '96-97. We're going to be looking at the rules and regs because everyone will be coming to the table, because everyone sees that after the year ninety... I think Senator Maurstad gave that back to me, I'm not sure where it is right now, here it is, everybody knows, I assume they read the amendments, that in '98-99 there will be a new funding system implemented so we don't know if there will be any growth or what. It's simply going to be a new funding mechanism. That's what we're going to have in '98-99. So the only difference is whether or not this Legislature wants to save 6 or 12, but please understand that, even though it saves us money, you have to take it from somebody. If it wasn't a cut, Senator Witek, how come we're saving so much money? It's an interesting question. Senator Witek says, no, it's no cut. I