

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ..we have that many numbers but they're not all...

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay. Did any of them take a, including salary, did any of them take more than a...less than a 3 percent cut?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Say that again.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I said did any of the agencies take...increase less than 3 percent, and that includes salaries too?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I'd say I'd have to go down that list. I'd say there was a few took less than that, yes, very few...

SENATOR ROBINSON: Very few.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ..or a few.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Because we did cut some quite severely, frankly, percentagewise.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I think what Senator Stevens' (sic) and Senator Withem's saying and I think Senator Chambers' saying that...and I feel that way and I think a lot of the people that didn't vote and a lot of the people that voted no, I think we all feel that special education ought to be cut and I think the figure's probably about 3 percent. It'd be...instead of the 5 that we talk about, it ought to be 3. That...that, along with the waivers, I think, would be sufficient. But I really have a problem with going down to a flat...to a zero lid because I think you can talk about, all you want to, about releasing teachers' aides and a few of those things. You can go to some of the things that the ESUs can do, but I guess I'm just not convinced it can happen. I'm not convinced it can happen. But I'll tell you, a 7 percent lid, I'd like to...I'd like to have seen some 7 percent cuts in some agencies, you know that, but we didn't move that way but what we're...we're doing this and I think 3 percent wouldn't be too bad and, hopefully, there will be an amendment that I think Senator Stevens (sic) is... may have an amendment on that. I think that, to be fair, I think