

work with it and if it doesn't work, it doesn't work. But I think we have to do it. I think the...I mean, to me it's an obvious alternative that we have, it's a correction that the federal government wants us to do it. I can't see where it's going to hurt too much if we do it, and then, in fact, if we don't need it, it's not necessary, then we change it. So I think we've wasted enough time on this issue, I think it's time we move on to something else and be a little bit more productive. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Fisher. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Bohlke a question.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Bohlke, would you respond.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bohlke, do you think that Kentucky also had notice in July of last year of being out of compliance?

SENATOR BOHLKE: I don't know, I would assume that they would have. I don't even know if they're...I'm assuming that they were out of compliance, they asked for the waiver.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Did they not have a legislative session since July of last year?

SENATOR BOHLKE: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They did not?

SENATOR BOHLKE: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: They meet once every two years?

SENATOR BOHLKE: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, still backward, poor Kentucky. But anyway, Senator Fisher's words were the words of somebody who's not too caught up in this discussion and who hasn't paid too much attention to the rationale that has been given for being in opposition to what is being ordered here by the federal government. First of all, the amendment that we have before us, Senator Fisher, does not reflect the requirements of the federal