

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...crackling in the street, they say a lion is in the streets, a lion is in the streets, but there are those of us who fear not the dragon, should the dragon breathe fire and smoke, and tell us move from that which you are supposed to do, and we say, incinerate me if you must, but move I shall not. In other words, I shall not be moved from my position, and if you do strip this bill, you will be taking the coward's way out. Now who in here wants to be a coward?

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, your light is next.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I do, Senator Chambers, for one. I think we should go ahead and approach this question and do our best to resolve the matter. The instructions clearly, as sent out from the federal government, would require us to do it by October 20th of 1995. Whether or not we want to risk going beyond that is an open question. I am not sure what would happen. I am not sure anybody is. If this were a matter where we had to instigate some elaborate administrative procedure, or we had to spend a lot of money to do something, it would seem to me that then perhaps we ought to take the risk of not having it done on time and wait. But this is a matter of rewriting our statute. This is our business. All that has to be done to comply with the federal government is to rewrite our statute. We are going to rewrite our statute. Why shouldn't we rewrite it now? If Senator Withem, and Senator Bernard-Stevens, and Senator Chambers want to make amendments, that's fine. That's what it is all about, but the proposition that has been brought to you by Senator Bohlke is reasonable. It is structured, actually, right on the statute that we have now and parallels it very closely, fills in the appropriate different language for the situation as required by the federal government. May need a little work, but that's what we are here for, to do the work. It seems to me it makes a lot more sense to take a little time today to get it straight, rather than to wait another year. It was a little unclear to me as to whether Senator Withem and Senator Bernard-Stevens didn't want to deal with it this year altogether, or they wanted not to suspend the rules right now so that their version of the matter could be what was dealt with. But if they want their version of things, then let's get the...let's get the rules suspended and get the different