

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if you...and you think the amount of \$180,000 will never be exceeded as being an amount that is needed for this purpose that you are talking about?

SENATOR PEDERSEN: It may, it may not...I...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if it does then, and the money that goes into that fund comes from more gambling, there would either have to be more gambling to bring the money in there or you'd have to put General Fund money into it to make the money available, wouldn't you?

SENATOR PEDERSEN: I wouldn't vote for General Fund money, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But that's what would have to happen if you reached that point, wouldn't you? If you are going to have the money available.

SENATOR PEDERSEN: If you are going to have the money available, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Janssen, that's the point that I was getting to. We are on a slope that is taking us downward, in one sense, or upward in the sense of it costing more money. Senator Pedersen will have to tell you that with increased gambling there will be more compulsive gamblers. That will result in an increase in the cost of everything being done with reference to them, and that's the aspect of it I am looking at, not just this one instance here.

SENATOR JANSSEN: I know in the State of Minnesota, we were up there last summer, and on the news they would have a segment, a short segment, and they were talking about what was happening to the compulsive gambling in the State of Minnesota, and they were having a problem with this. Now I don't know how, you know, how they've set theirs up to deal with this, but when they were running it on the local news, there were some problems in Minnesota and they have a lot...they have a lot of casinos there also. So they did have a problem. Every night they would have different situations, and I would imagine they do have...

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...a compulsive gambling system set up there too, but I can see where \$50,000 would probably be needed for