

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Not human hand, by man.

SENATOR ELMER: By man.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's, in these days, a chauvinistic sexist term, isn't it?

SENATOR ELMER: To be politically correct I would assume that we should say person in the new compact.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let us not talk about being politically correct, let's talk about being factual. This would then mean that if women do these very things, then it would not apply. Isn't that correct? It would still be virgin if women had brought about these changes.

SENATOR ELMER: I would assume if you took a very strict definition of man in whatever context that it was used, you could assume that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: How strict a definition do you need to distinguish man from woman?

SENATOR ELMER: Used in the terms that have been used for many, many years, when you speak of man as a race you include every person whether they're male or female or something in between.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is it man as a race or man as a species?

SENATOR ELMER: I would amend that to say species.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And now I'd like to ask Senator Beutler, the lawyer, for a few succulent comments.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Beutler, is a resolution the methodology by which this activity that you're seeking to have accomplished to be done as opposed to a bill?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I'm sorry, I wasn't listening closely. Would you repeat that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. If you took three red apples with the letter "L" on them and four green apples with the letter "T" on