

May 22, 1995

LB 583, 629, 696

Legislative Journal.) The vote is 37 ayes, 2 nays, 10 excused and not voting.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 583 passes. LB 629.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read LB 629 on Final Reading.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: All provisions of law relative to procedure having been complied with, the question is, shall LB 629 pass? All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: (Read record vote. See page 2390 of the Legislative Journal.) The vote is 37 ayes, 0 nays, 2 present and not voting, 10 excused and not voting.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 629 passes. LB 696.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Madam President, Senator Beutler would move to return the bill to Select File for a specific amendment. (FA256 appears on page 2391 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President and members of the Legislature, this amendment seeks to narrow this particular bill in a manner that I hope is agreeable to the introducer. I don't really think he intended to be as broad as this bill apparently is. I need to apologize to Senator Schrock for not bringing this to his attention but it just came to my attention this morning. Basically, LB 696 allows for personalized license plates on farm trucks, but it also has another change and this is the change I'm dealing with, and it's on page 4, line 9. And it used to...the language used to say, "Such trucks shall carry on their license plates, in addition to the registration number, the designation farm and the words NOT FOR HIRE." That language has been changed from shall to may. I assume that that was done in order to accommodate personalized plates, but, nonetheless, it changes it not just for those who are issuing...not just for the issuance of personalized plates but for all other farm trucks. It makes it optional instead of mandatory. My amendment simply changes it back to making it mandatory that these be identified as farm trucks and as not for hire in all situations where personalized plates are not being issued. There was no debate or no discussion as far as I know about