

was no savings.

SENATOR BOHLKE: All right. You know, it's a decision, I guess, that certainly the statement has been made that no one wants to spend the money. I don't know if it is necessary to do this. I don't know if we have done it on any other bills so far, and, certainly, I'll look to Senator Wehrbein and get his reaction to what he thinks about the amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Wehrbein, your reaction.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: The action is I want to understand what Senator Bernard-Stevens is doing first, would you spell it out in terms of...

SENATOR HALL: Senator Bernard-Stevens, would you respond.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: ...dollars?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes, Roger, what it does, and it is being hand...copied off and handed out now. It simply puts the level at 127 million, which is what we are at now in the bill. It is showing the 121...122 million that you've appropriated. It is taking the \$6 million that we are estimating that we will save on what we have, and what the amendment says is anything we save above that, whether it is \$12 million or \$6 million more, because we agree with the Bohlke amendment, or somewhere in the middle, if we have a 3.5 percent growth that we agree to, whatever else we save, we simply take that savings, instead of showing it on the green sheet on the General Fund side that could be spent on other bills, we would transfer that savings; it would be the intent that we would transfer that savings into the Cash Reserve Fund.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, and so in its present form, let's just use 6 and 12 for an easy figuring, in its present form, it would take 6 million off the table?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: That is correct.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: And transfer it to the Cash Reserve Fund, and that is what this amendment does, and you are saying...

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: The amendment, Roger, is the intent.