

something that if you vote for the bill that you're already committed to and this is just kind of flushing out more of what we're committing ourselves to. And I still have tremendous concerns about the language in this portion of the amendment about saying shall, that the committed offender shall comply and the department shall provide. I think it really opens us...it commits us to providing programming and setting up personal plans and everything else. I guess (inaudible) motivate these individuals who aren't motivated. And where do we draw the line is what I think? We supply as taxpayers 12 years of a free public school education in this country, we have tremendous community programs out there right now that individuals can become involved in, they don't. And I know I say it again, I know it infuriates Senator Chambers, but when you're talking about good time, if motivation or the threat of prison wasn't enough to keep these individuals behavior in lines of what society deemed appropriate, then to me when you're talking about good time I guess sometimes that is not going to be appropriate either. So now we've decided that good time is not enough. We are not only going to cut their sentence in half so that they'll behave in prison, now we have to keep them busy and occupied while they're in there and hopefully productive once they come out, as Senator Chambers said they put them out the door of the prison in shackles and they say, you know, go ahead and go back into society. I don't like the picture that paints either. It's, you know, frightening to me that there are individuals who will harm other people and not think twice about it. But I'm not sure that these programs...we haven't found that any of them have been that effective. We're not getting any information or statistics on how programs that we've already had in there have been successful or have been working and so now we're going to put in more. We haven't.... You know there's never any justification other than what people want to do and what they feel would be best and what we have to do for these individuals who are incarcerated to keep them occupied. You know that seems to be the kind of justification for spending more taxpayers money all the time. To me they have access to a library, I know they have access to a computer. And if they're not motivated enough to take advantage of those things, you know, it's like the old saying you can lead a horse to water but you can't make it drink. I mean I hate to use that, but that's exactly how I feel. How far are we expected to go to take money from families who can no longer afford, and I know I keep saying that, but can no longer afford to keep giving it, to provide for individuals who are probably not motivated or aren't self-motivated who have