

change the way we've been working is totally inappropriate. Now, if Senator Hudkins wants to put in a rule change and suggest that we do things differently in the future so that we're all starting out on the same playing field, knowing the same rules, that's one thing. But to come in with regard to one particular committee and say, uh-oh, we're going to treat you differently than we've been treating everybody else along the line, that's a different thing, and that's the sort of thing that causes bad feelings, and that's the sort of thing that in anybody's realistic sense is unfair. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Schrock, on the motion to overrule the Chair.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I support the motion to overrule the Chair but probably for the wrong reasons. Senator Beutler has met with me, he's been very accommodating. I think two or three of these bills could have been consent calendar bills. There's no need for them to be here at this point in time. Senator Beutler, am I correct, these...this bill was passed out of committee May 11th? Is that correct?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Beutler, would you respond to a question?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I'd have to look and see what the date of the passing out of committee is, for whatever relevancy that is.

SENATOR SCHROCK: That seems to me to be a rather late date to put five bills together and put them in front of us. The hunter safety portion, not a big deal, like I said it's a candidate for consent calendar. It does increase the amount of education that people who are starting to hunt are required to take before they're able to obtain a hunting license. So the whole concept of towards the end of a session a committee going in, picking out the bills they want to pass, then wrapping them up into a bill they've gutted is a little distasteful to me. I've told Senator Beutler that there's a couple of these bills that have objectionable parts in them. If he wanted to take the objectionable parts out, or if he wanted to compromise with me or other members of the Legislature on these objectionable parts, then they would also be candidates for consent calendar. But as it is I think there's some very controversial portions in