

through the Beutler amendments and whatever other amendments out there, deal with the committee amendments and then vote on the bill up or down, or we can bracket it if the desire, from what I heard Senator Preister say and what I know Senator Landis has said to me that there are folks that need to and want to address this issue over the interim. Now the only question that exists out there is do we address it next year at two stages of debate or do we address it at all three? And I would argue that we should address it at all three and the bracket motion allows for that to take place. It allows for all the interested parties to get together and work on it, but it also takes it off the table today. And I don't offer that to try to interrupt anything that Senator Landis and Senator Preister are working on, but I clearly don't think the votes are going to be here to adopt the various amendments and the time is going to be here to work through this. If there is, fine, then we just reject the bracket motion to move on. I don't need to speak to it again, but it does allow for virtually everything they intend to do to take place except for the advancement or the possible advancement to Select File. We would still have to adopt all the amendments and the committee amendments before we would have the bill in any form whatsoever. With that I offer the bracket motion.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Schimek, to speak to the motion to bracket.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, Madam President, members of the body, I would rise in opposition to the bracket motion and as one of the cosigners of the bill it is my understanding that Senator Landis would like to have this on Select File because it would help bring some of the parties to the table and you all know how difficult sometimes that is. And this is a rather short bill in terms of pages, it's six pages long. It's possible that after an interim study and by the time we come back next year it will be in a slightly different or maybe even a radically different form, I don't know, but the chances are it's not going to be a terribly long bill. And the truth of the matter is, we really would have two rounds of debate left because we have not been unknown to bring a bill back from Final Reading if we need to for amendments. I think that we would have plenty of time to discuss it in full. I mean if we continue to discuss it tonight it probably is wasted time because what we're discussing tonight may not be what we come back with after the study. I really think it's important that all the parties to this, those who