

amendment are germane, but that's gone, that's out of here. That is not there anymore and so how can it be germane? It isn't. And the proper rule is to follow the other course through and Senator Hall's issue should be more properly addressed to what Senator Abboud could do to again consider what the Kristensen amendment was and not to go through and do a nongermane amendment. As we look through here, look at the underlying bill and look at the underlying committee amendment. This was added on. I mean we talked about that in the very beginning of the committee amendment. It dealt with high-level nuclear waste, it dealt with a lot of other things and then all of a sudden it drags in for all products, the statute of repose and it isn't germane to what is left. What is left is high-level nuclear waste. Now there is some provision for statute of repose but that is for the limited area. That's not the general statute of repose. It doesn't open up the section on general statute of repose. It belongs in completely different sections of the law. And so what Senator Abboud would need to do is try to reconsider the previous vote. And with that I think the Chair is correct and would certainly uphold the Chair's ruling and would hope that you would vote against Senator Hall's motion to overrule the Chair.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Abboud.

SFNATOR ABOUD: Madam President, members, I support the attempt to overrule the Chair. The issue clearly provides, the green copy clearly provides for language dealing with the statute of repose. The argument that was raised by Senator Hall is certainly another excellent point to be considered as well. You have to, by stripping out the amendment you certainly don't limit your ability to make further amendments on that subject matter, but as we are fortunate enough to have a green copy version that provided for this language, just debated the issue on this motion earlier, I think we have two excellent reasons to overrule the Chair and the reason I don't file a motion to reconsider is because I'm not offering the same amendment. I'm offering a compromise approach to 20 years. I think it's unfortunate that this is what we've degraded into where we can't take these issues, try to deal with them up front, provide a compromise approach. I don't have a string of amendments on this proposal. This is my last amendment. This will probably be the last amendment that I offer on the entire bill and it's my attempt to try to deal with a very serious problem and I feel