

like that. This was not some great strategic design. In an effort to simplify the amending process, we struck these three. Maybe that provided an opportunity to put something back. These first three statements are good policy. They are accurate. The first is from the Constitution. I think they make a more comprehensive policy statement on behalf of the body to the people with regard to contingent fee agreements, and I support them.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Brashear. Senator Chambers, you are recognized to close.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. And tailgating on what Senator Brashear said, this language by our specifically making reference to the Constitution and the requirement of economical and efficient administration, it would give somebody a basis I think to challenge a contract if a fee was way out of whack. So maybe it will do some good. But, anyway, I ask you to adopt the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: You've heard the closing. The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The Chambers amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Maurstad would move to amend, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. President, colleagues. My amendment would strike on page 2, lines 2 through 21, which, essentially, I believe were added back in by the Chambers amendment that was just adopted. They'd be items 8, 9, and 10 of the findings. Is that correct, Senator Chambers, or have I made a mistake.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, anything further on the bill?

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill at this time, Mr. President.