

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...pay Maximus because we don't know at what point in the future all of that money will come to the state, would you agree with that?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I agree with that, except it has to be finite, I think. It couldn't go ten years because you would just think it would have come to an end, a logical end.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But we don't know at what point that is.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: We don't know and you're correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that's a good contract for the state to enter in your opinion? That...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I don't think I've stood in defense of the contract.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, do you think...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Simply the realization of the monies, the maximization of the opportunity for the people, and not trying to get into a lengthy court battle over whether on the basis of the performance, which has already taken place and the reliance which has been placed upon it, whether we have a quasi contract, an oral contract with a written under memorandum of understanding, an implied contract, a quantum meruit...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...situation, I don't see that beneficial.

SPEAKER WITHEM: On the...Senator Brashear, your...or Senator Chambers, your time is up. Senator Brashear, you are recognized for five minutes.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I'll yield the first part of my time to Senator Chambers, for whatever use he wants to make of it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laughs) within the rules of the Legislature and the bounds of propriety. Thank you.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Brashear, the question that I am