

May 17, 1995

LB 519

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Not at all, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: It's an accurate statement and I agree with it, of course.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, the second one, the types and provisions of contracts executed on behalf of the state have a direct bearing on the economical...

SENATOR HALL PRESIDING

SENATOR HALL: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm, your light is next.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Chambers, if...

SENATOR HALL: Senator Chambers, would you respond.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Here I am, yes.

SENATOR BROMM: Would you have any objections to changing the Brashear amendment to go ahead and eliminate sections 4, 5, and leave 6 in? We will declare it is an emergency but we will leave every thing else up in the air, would that be a problem or what do you think?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You jumped on the train even later than I did. What I thought he was doing was striking subsections of Section 1, that's why I came up with mine. Yours is even more humorous than mine, but you missed the train.

SENATOR BROMM: I have a question for you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: In trying to, if this is going to become part of the statute, I have some concerns about the definition of contingent fee, and I heard your answer to Senator Wehrbein, but I still am concerned about the language and the draftsmanship as to how that could be interpreted. For example, I know for a fact that some departments of state have surplus property sales,