

Governor. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's correct, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And why do you think the Governor should do this instead of if there is to be oversight saying that the Executive Board would oversee this in the same way we look at an approve of expenditures of certain classes of money by the university on building projects?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I mean, do you favor the Governor over the Executive Board for a policy reason or you wanted to keep it in the executive branch?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, I haven't given a whole lot of thought, Senator, to where you go beyond allowing contingent fee contracts. This was my initial thought on it. I'd be willing to listen to further discussion as to if you're going to have them, then how should they be approved. But the basis for my initial decision is simply my prejudice towards a policy with regard to the administration of affairs that the one who is in charge of the administration should have reasonable authority to execute those kinds of agreements that will make sense to the public and if those aren't good for the public, then he or she will be accountable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And because my time is out, I will ask you some more questions but I won't try to even start one right now.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body, I just want to make a couple remarks and then I'd like to yield my time to Senator Chambers to allow him to continue his dialogue with Senator Beutler. My first comment I'd like to make is I don't think that contingent fees in and of themselves are necessarily nefarious, that I think, if structured correctly, contingent fee contracts can properly motivate the individual contracted with to perform the intent of the contract at the maximum possible efficiency. So I think the key is not that the contingent contracts in and of themselves are bad but