

discussion. I'm just saying it's not the proper place to do this at this point. We have a five-year lease. It's going to be very difficult to get out of it. The practical side also is that there is only one entrance to this whole amount of space so it is impractical to subdivide at this point. So to make the point, if you want to do away with the Public Service Commission, this is kind of I think an awkward way to do it, to hamstring them. The other thing is that they are moving the grain testing lab out of the basement of the Capitol over to there so some of this space, I think it might be subjective as to whether it's 2,000 extra square feet at this point, but some of this space will be taken up by moving the grain lab, grain testing laboratory out of the basement of the Capitol over to that office building for several reasons, security and others I understand. So to do what we ought to do, this might be a more practical amendment or even a bill I think next year to come in and do this because I don't think we'll be able to get out of the lease just even if it's 2,000 square feet, assuming that that is a correct figure. Now I think they have tried to get another tenant in there to share that space, but with the grain lab moving there will be much lesser space available than I think is being talked about. By moving the grain lab over, it will take up some of this space; the PC, Public Service will do that themselves so we're not talking about money here to do that. But I would oppose this amendment at this time. And if, in fact, we want to do away with the Public Service Commission, I think it ought to be with a properly introduced bill, decide where those duties that they presently have are and I think we would be ill-served to literally put them on the street, the way I interpret this amendment for the second year, taking away all of their space of \$138,500 seems to take away a big share of their rent, if not all. I don't have that figure, but if that's what you want to do, I think it ought to be done with a bill and a hearing for that purpose.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wehrbein. Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature, my understanding, Senator Jensen, if you would respond to a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Jensen.

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes.