

the first bill before they're caught in their own contradictions. What do I mean? This bill divides the workload of the Judicial Resources Commission into two piles. It says if they're looking at a district that is heavily worked, in the top half of those that are most heavily worked, they don't have to look at those anymore. It's assumed that the vacancy will be filled right in that district, but then in the other half over here of the lowly, of the underworked district, they will resume their usual function, no significant changes, the usual function that doesn't work of course. Now how did they make the distinction between the pile they're going to look at and the ones they didn't look at? Why it's the judicial administrators caseloads statistics. I guess they're good enough for dividing it into two piles so already those very caseloads that you just heard all afternoon were no good, are the underlying basis of this amendment, the underlying basis for deciding when the Judicial Resource Commission automatically fills a vacancy and when they're going to hold a hearing and may or may not fill a vacancy. Can you see what's happening to you? They're playing it both ways again and they haven't even got past the original bill. With respect to those, and I just want to point out quickly, I don't want to hit this point, I don't want to spend too much point on it, but I want to point out again that there is no significant change happening here. With respect to the Judicial Resources Commission they're going to go through the same song and dance, the only difference is they won't go through it for those heavily worked districts because those will automatically be filled but they'll go through it for the districts that are underworked and I submit to you will come to the same conclusion they've always come to and the process again that's going on here today is the same process that leaves the taxpayer flabbergasted and broke. And when you multiply this process in many different areas, that's why government in Nebraska is inefficient to the extent that it's inefficient because we don't account for changes in population when population decreases. That's one of the major reasons why government is inefficient in Nebraska to the extent that it is. There...well, I'll stop there at this point but simply point out to you that the system is not changing. Those overworked districts threaten to take away judges. When they threaten to take away judges those who want to preserve their judges cave in and give them the additional judges, on goes the system, we add judges, we don't take away judges, the taxpayer is hit. I submit to you and I say it straight out to you, I am absolutely convinced the taxpayer is taking a million dollar hit on this