

they don't encompass the proper factors, change them in 1982. And they all huddled around and, you know, they talked about things and nothing much changed, a few things changed in the judicial statistic workloads, and after that, of course, the Bar comes running in for a new judge here or there and what's their evidence? Well, the judicial administrators' workload statistics. That's their evidence that they need a new judge, and, yes, yes, those...that evidence is terrific. It's good evidence, another new judge. And this has gone on for ten, fifteen years now where, on the one hand, they say when they want to use them the statistics aren't good, and when they do want to use them they say, ah, they're just fine. And the fact of the matter is we're wasting a million dollars a year because we don't use our judges right, and the problem is that we don't consolidate, we don't take away judges where they're not needed, and we continue to make deals that add judges but never take away or reallocate judges and the public and the taxpayer suffers from all this, and they're suffering to the tune of a million dollars a year. Why, right now, do you know on an uncontested divorce case, for example, this is just one example of the inefficiencies of the system, uncontested divorce case, probably 90 percent of divorce cases are uncontested, and probably 80 percent of the court workload are uncontested divorce cases, maybe not quite that high, but, you know, if I have a county judge in one town and a district judge that's 80 miles away, I've got to go 80 miles away in order to have them go through this little recitation that finalizes an uncontested divorce because only district judges can hear divorces, whether they're contested or uncontested, where we could have gone down just a hundred feet to the county court and had the matter resolved. But the Bar and the judiciary won't even come to terms with such simple things as that. So more later when the amendment comes up, but I just didn't want you to...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...think that this was really what it was all about.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: It's my understanding that there will be a motion here, an effort here in a minute or two to withdraw the underlying Brashear amendment. That being the case, to