

CLERK: 13 ayes, 4 nays to go under call, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The house is under call. Members, please return to the Chamber, record their presence. The house is under call. Senator Avery.

SENATOR AVERY: Roll call vote.

SPEAKER WITHEM: A roll call vote has been requested. Senator Abboud. Senator Wehrbein, did you request the reverse order?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, follow the lead.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Members, please return to the floor. Senator Bohlke, Senator Chambers, Senator Matzke, Senator Schimek, Senator Engel, Senator Hartnett, Senator Hudkins, Senator Jensen. Senator Engel, Senator Jensen and Senator Matzke. Proceed with calling the roll.

CLERK: (Read roll call vote in reverse order. See pages 2139-40 of the Legislative Journal.) 11 ayes, 22 nays on the amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not agreed to. The call is raised. Next item.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Lindsay would move to amend 392.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Lindsay.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. This amendment would strike 157,000 from the State Fair budget in '95-96, 162,900 in the following year. It's target at least indicated that I think there was a bill introduced, LB 429, to require the funding that's in the budget now. And that was earmarked or targeted for FFA, 4-H funding. And I want to make clear first of all that FFA and 4-H are good programs I think ought to continue to exist. That I don't think is the issue. The issue is and...but I shall also point out it's not an urban/rural issue at all because both of those programs I believe have deep roots in both urban and rural areas so I want to get away from that. I think the point that I'd like to see looked at is the question of whether we ought to be subsidizing the State Fair when we don't seem to have enough dollars to go