

are in for something that isn't even a violent crime. So if Senator Avery has some information that they actually can live without these and you want to take these out, I won't argue about that but you said one thing, Mike, that I'd like to ask you to clarify. You said you can use these dollars for other programs rather than for these new staff psychologists but you're taking the dollars out, right? You are taking the dollars out?

SENATOR AVERY: Yes.

SENATOR CROSBY: So you won't be able to use them for other programs.

SENATOR AVERY: I'm saying you know funding then will be available maybe for the crime bill.

SENATOR CROSBY: So are you going to introduce an amendment to put these dollars back in for another program?

SENATOR AVERY: I don't know if that would be needed. It would just be less...there would be more dollars available...

SENATOR CROSBY: But you're taking the dollars out.

SENATOR AVERY: ...it would stay in the General Fund that could be used for other purposes.

SENATOR CROSBY: It could be used as far as I'm concerned, anything that goes back to the General Fund. Thanks, Mike, I just wanted to correct that because you aren't saying that corrections then is going to have money for programs necessarily unless somebody puts an amendment in to use this money for those programs. If any money that goes back into the General Funds as we go back and forth here on the budget, I want it to help the reserve. So I just wanted to clarify that with you, Mike...

SENATOR AVERY: Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...to be sure and as I say if you think they can do without them, fine. I do think we have...we're talking about a crime bill that's coming along to add new facilities and so on and we are not cutting down on the number of people that go to jail with a lot of the bills that we pass on sentencing and all those things. More people have to stay in