

I am not that kind of person, and I don't think there are many people on this floor that are. I am not sure there is a one here that would do that, but I still think we should support the bracket bill. I have...I have confidence in the Appropriations Committee.

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR ROBINSON: People have chastised me for not letting the process go through and make amendments. Where I am coming from, I wanted the appropria...I didn't want to come up and stick a lot of amendments on there and saying you are not doing right, Roger. That's why...that's why we visited with him yesterday and today because I have a lot of respect for him and every member of the Appropriations Committee. They are good people but we disagree, and that's what...that's what this system is about. If you get one more vote on an issue and another, we move on to the next one. I'd urge you to defeat the Chambers amendment, and then let's get down and take a vote on the original bill. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Robinson. Senator Chambers, on the amendment to the bracket motion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, every time one of these kind of bracket motions is offered, and experience has shown it invariably, we spend more time debating the motion, itself, than maybe what the individual issue was that caused us to reach that point. I really appreciated what Senator Maurstad had to say, and he got not fire yet, but I saw a little spark that I hadn't seen up to now, so I'm going to, as you know, cultivate that until it bursts forth into a configuration, and he will make my strongest words seem as miniature expressions of discontent compared to what he will say. He is right when he posed the question, what makes anybody think that the committee is going to do differently from what they did before, or say we did not adequately consider the facts we had, we made a mistake, and now since you all have called it to our attention, we are going to change it. On the other hand, if they are expected to do what no committee should be asked to do, in my opinion, sort through amendments and say this one has more value than that one, therefore, we will support Senator Chambers' amendment but we will reject Senator Stuhr's amendment, that could be really a disregard for the members whose amendments are rejected. They are then making not fiscal