

let's try it and see. I don't think we have to worry about the 80-day rule and I'm surprised that Senator Withem even brought up the fact that we're in a short cycle here considering we've just considered some committee priority bills this morning without even having considered some of the senators' priority bills on General File. So I'm not sure, it's a time shortage that's been within what I would assume was the regular order of consideration of bills. I think it's more something that we, in fact, I would be in favor of having a session where all we consider is the budget one year and the next year we consider some of these other bills. I mean, those are all ideas that have been discussed among senators and I think this is the most important thing we have to do this session. And if it takes longer than 80 days, that's fine with me. I'm willing to stay late and do some of this work that needs to be done and support Appropriations or anyone else who wants to cut on any of the...of this amendments. We sent the crime bill back because there was a lot of amendments on it. There wasn't...Senator Withem, himself, sent the crime bill back to the committee. I don't see anything different than doing this, to sending it back to the committee that has expertise and time involved in this budget, more so than all the senators on the floor, and allowing them to do that within the committee. And I know it means more time for those individuals and I know it means more late nights and time away from your family, and I appreciate that time that you've given, and I know it's very difficult. It's...it's difficult carrying just a regular schedule as a senator, and I know you give more time beyond that and I appreciate that and I know this is asking a lot of your committee to ask you to go back and do something like this, but I think it's probably the most fair way to do it, probably the most efficient way to do it, and, hopefully, we'll come up with some cuts from those areas and you will consider the cuts that we've suggested, and I know it's not enough...

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...on the amendments, but I still hope you will consider them. And, as to the ethanol contract, that was something that we...that I voted for because it was a contract that we had agreed to years before I came here, as there's some of the...that's why I'm willing to support Senator Warner's area. Some of these decisions were made before we got here. Some of them...this one in particular needs to be kept because people have made millions of dollars worth of investments due to