

Mr. Clerk...rather the question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to the Wickersham amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? A record vote has been requested. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read record vote. See pages 2119-20 of the Legislative Journal.) 18 ayes, 21 ayes, Mr. President.

SENATOR HALL: The amendment to the amendment is not adopted. We are back on the Wickersham amendment. Anything further on it, Mr. Clerk? The call is raised. Back to the Wickersham amendment. Senator Chambers, your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I am going to not vote for the Wickersham amendment, and the reason is because now with his amendment, if you adopt it, the bill has been changed from what they told us it was to be. My amendment would have kept language in the bill that the original drafters said had to be there to narrow the scope of the bill and serve the purpose. So what we are going to have now, at 15...page 1514 of the Journal, is an attempt by me to remove that language by amendment. The body said no, so when they go to page 1515 of the Journal, they will see where I changed my amendment and put that language back in. Now we will go to another page in the Journal and see where you voted with Senator Wickersham to take that language back out. That's crazy, but it will help show the craziness of this bill and the foolishness of the Legislature. I think a lot of people don't even know what they are voting for in this bill, but I am going to tell you what I've succeeded in doing, and probably too well. I think some of the votes no probably were because the amendment had my name on it, but it is going to give me something in future days to really laugh about because I am going to make a handout, as is my custom, and put all these provisions from the Journal and show my involvement throughout; how the Legislature rejected my position, then they wound up coming back and accepting it. Makes no sense to me, but at any rate, that can still be rectified by rejecting Senator Wickersham's amendment. If you reject his amendment, you will leave in the bill language that is there right now, language which the body voted specifically to keep in the bill. If you accept his amendment, you are now voting to specifically take it out, which you specifically voted against doing when I offered an amendment to achieve that. What we really need to look at now, other than who is offering an amendment, is what the purpose of this bill really is. When it