

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I want to take you back a bit further to the ones who created this bill and put those words we are talking about among their list of findings. I felt the language that talked about the findings was too extensive, that it ought to be streamlined to make a clear statement of why this fund is being created. My desire was to eliminate those words, but the body said, no, they are a part of the bill as introduced and they should remain a part of the bill. I am asking you this question because I know why Senator Wickersham doesn't want them there. I didn't want them there and tried to take them out and was not successful.

SENATOR HALL: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So I am asking, why now are you coming and saying take these words out, when at the time we were first discussing this bill, those who wanted these words in there said they say what the purpose of the fund is, to keep Nebraska competitive. So is that no longer the purpose of the bill?

SENATOR ENGEL: As far as I am concerned, again that's a dangerous word, "intent", but the intent is to keep us, as far as I am concerned, the bill's intent is to keep us competitive.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. And I want to ask Senator Engel a bit more on this, and try to make it clear what I am asking.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Engel, would you respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If...

SENATOR ENGEL: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...these words were in the bill because the creators of the bill wanted it there, wanted these words there, if when I tried to get them out a majority of the Legislature said, no, don't take them out, leave them there as they originally were placed there because they state what the purpose is for creating this fund, that's what the body voted. Now, having said that these words tell us what the purpose is for creating this fund, are you now telling me that that no longer