

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...by pointing to these words. This is going to be a different program because these words say how it is to be limited. I am sure those who favor this bill have a reason for wanting to get rid of these words, and in speaking against my amendment, unless it will be supported, those words, the reason those words will be taken out will be explained to us. I want to make it clear that the other part of Senator Wickersham's amendment where he mentions that this finding, or whatever the language was, should be put into the bill. I am not touching that. That is clarifying language, but mine would remove his language that would take away the words that I have just discussed with you. So my amendment is designed to keep these words in the bill.

SENATOR HALL: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. Your light is next.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The words that I want to keep in the bill are those that I have been discussing. I will ask Senator Engel a question about these words now because I think I've made it clear what it is we are discussing.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Engel, would you respond.

SENATOR ENGEL: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Engel, do you recall that I had offered an amendment that would have taken these words out, that I was prevailed upon to leave those words in, so I withdrew that first amendment that would have taken them out, offered a substitute amendment, which included them back in, and that amendment was adopted and constitutes now a part of the bill that we are trying to amend?

SENATOR ENGEL: Senator Chambers, there has been so many things occur with this bill that I do remember because you just reminded me. Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now can you tell me why these words are being taken out?

SENATOR ENGEL: On the advice of one of my cohorts here that is in the legal profession, and he thought this a much better phrasing than the other, so that is why we did it.