

combination of A bills and ongoing appropriations that will meet with the approval of the people. I didn't want to put a kill motion up on this because I think a lot of us are interested in this. I think there are a lot of technical problems yet with this bill. I think there are some philosophic matters that have gone unresolved, big companies versus little companies, in state companies versus attracting business from out of state, and the nature of the direct use of those funds by the Governor, the types of expenditures of projects, a whole bunch of things that can be further refined. And I think that Senator Engel would do very well over the summer and the fall in working on that and in bringing back to us a proposition which, who knows, by next year perhaps those revenue projections will have improved, and perhaps there will be additional money there to fund this kind of thing. But we've done a great deal this session for economic development. I don't think there's any need to go through the things we've done for economic development, major, major steps have been taken. And it seems to me that, given the restraint we need to show and given what we've done for economic development, and given our need to do some things in other areas, at least for me, I cannot support the bill this year. And I hope you all will sit down and think through your priorities a little bit, and if you really can't support this one as one of your bills, let's be done with it for this year and let's get to see what people's priorities are. I think it's time we started doing that. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Bernard-Stevens, followed by Senators Chambers, Coordsen, Engel and Dierks. Senator Bernard-Stevens, on the motion to bracket.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Hall. I rise in support of the bracket motion, but I wanted to explain a couple of technical things that would happen. And actually I want to again, for the record, say I'd rather kill the bill. I don't really want to see it next year as well because we're not going to have the money then either, and it brings up the bill next year as well. But I'm willing to go halfway with Senator Beutler and others that maybe next year the situation, the Forecast Board will find some new dollars and we can do it. So I'm willing to go ahead and bracket it until next year. Now so the members of the body know what happens, if you're looking at the green sheet on the fiscal note or on the fiscal side on the financial status, what would happen if it was bracketed is that the amount of the bill, the \$3 million in each of the next two