

philosophical questions that Senator Day and Senator Schimek raises, and the appropriate forum for that among people who feel strongly is a vote on the floor to see who has the majority. I would be happy to take the two days, join with Senator Chambers, solve some technical issues, but the truth of the matter is that if we answer the technical issues, Tim Hall is not going to vote for this bill right now. I think he's got some underlying philosophical problems. My guess is that if we answer how the transition would be done, Senator Day would still be an opponent of the bill. My guess is that that would be true for Senator Schimek and perhaps quite a number of others. In that instance, what we need is a vote on the underlying value choices. The best we can do, take a couple of days, do the kinds of issues, go through...for example, I think we need to decide within two days do we give DSS the obligation to do some random reviews or simply make it permissive legislation that if they wanted to, they could, if they had the person power to do it? My guess is we should move that to permissive or we should drop it altogether. My guess is we should figure out the time line for the appropriate amount of exchange of the transfer so that the bill gets into a shape that we know what it would do if it got passed and then we would debate whether we wanted that to happen or not. I support the Chambers amendment. There are certain things you can do in two days, generally, they're the technical issues. In the end, we have significant differences to get answered by votes on the floor.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: If Senator Wesely wants to do a pilot project and he wants a chance to vote that up, I'm going to be a vote no when that happens. If I want to do something without a pilot project, my guess is he's going to vote no and I'm going to vote yes. We are mirror image opposites of each other. Those things we take to the floor and we use that board to decide. We have time to do that. Two days is fine for the technical issues. Let's get to the underlying questions and answer them. The fact that the propo...the opponents have spoken a great deal makes sense but how about getting to some votes to see where the body is, to see whether or not we want to take action this year or not. I do. I'm ready to do that and I will be happy to solve technical problems but I want to vote on the substance of the bill this year.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, for what purpose do you rise?