

rather...that they could do to improve some of the things they're doing if they had the personnel to do so. So I'm sure their position would be, look, if we freed up eleven we don't want to lose the FTE, we may transfer the people over but we want to keep the FTE, and they'd be asking for...they would probably be reworking the job description of what that position would be and they would still try to use that. So I would assume that there would still be a request for replacement. And, Senator Chambers, I'll give you an example that came to mind. You remember 524, I think that's the number, sitting on Final Reading, and that was the bill that you and the judiciary supported, and I thank you very much. That was a consent calendar bill which basically said that the monies that we were taking when we collected child support, that would have gone to the mother prior to the child going into the foster care system, that bill will revert back to the mother at the end of the process. And that will be a fairness issue and one that I hope the Legislature will continue to do. But you'll notice on the A bill side, and Senator Witek brought this up and I think Senator Witek will probably be attacking, not the bill, but the A bill portion of it. They said, look, we're going to be giving the 900,000 or whatever it was back to these mothers, but we were using those dollars in this program, this program and that program, so they were asking us, the A bill was asking us to replace the dollars that technically they shouldn't have used, shouldn't have used in the first place. So I'm assuming that that will be the same type of philosophy, and I'm not trying to put a negative or a positive on it, but I suspect that's what we'll find on the eleven people. Senator Hall, another thing I might suggest to you is an amendment certainly that I had on my list to do, my sense is if we're going to go ahead and have the Foster Care Review Board do all of the reviews, there are a couple of amendments that we should look at. One I already have filed, and that's on page 3, strike lines 1 through...1, 2 and 3. And those lines say, the state board shall be fiscally responsible for any noncompliance sanctions imposed by the federal government related to the manner in which the state board carries out such duties. My sense is the State of Nebraska is going to be liable, no matter what we put. As far as the flower language on who's going to have liability, I suspect we're going to have that. That's an issue we're going to have to deal with. But I'm almost tempted to file the amendment that says all ten or all eight, if we agree with the Hall amendment, people are transferred in the first year. Let's just do it, if we're going to do the policy, let's just do