

fine-tune these things. And I guess I'm not supporting the Hall amendment at this time because I think we need the five and five and not the four and four. And to answer Senator Schimek's question, I think that if I were working for social services and was told that I had to go someplace else to work, I guess I'd figure I'd lose my job if I didn't. I don't know how you can look at it any differently than that. But I don't.... As far as the fiscal note, I've been looking, I've got that over there. But I think that the A bill for 642 is very specific, it says exactly what dollars are going to be taken from social services and that program and placed over in the Foster Care Review Board program. I don't think there's any mystery about that, it's fairly plain, as far as I can tell, where the dollars are coming from, where they're going to. If there aren't any dollars to fund it in Program 341, then I guess the function would stop over there. So it looks fairly simple to me. I would support...not support the Hall amendment, but I do support this legislation.

**SPEAKER WITHEM:** Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Hall, on the Hall amendment.

**SENATOR HALL:** Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise in support of the amendment. And I want to address a couple of issues that were raised by others who spoke. And one of the things that I want to touch on is comments were made that the transfer of employees automatically releases any requirement on the part of DSS for purposes of functioning in this area. And I believe it was Senator Hillman who touched on it. There was also some question with regard to the dates that are involved in Section 1 and the requirements, or for the periods that are spelled out in subsection (6) of Section 2. They don't mesh in terms of when the employees are transferred from the Department of Social Services as it relates to the responsibilities of the department to continue to conduct Title IV-E reviews. I mean they just...they don't mesh, the two don't fit together. You have a time period at which employees come on, but you also have those duties that are required to be carried through June 30, 1966 (sic) by the department. What do those five employees who come on, on January 1, 1996, do during that time frame? And what happens after June 30 of 1996 with regard to the Department of Social Services? If you flip over to the other side, you look at subsection (7), it says, the department will continue to review a random sample of a Title IV-E reviews either in the form of a joint review, I guess a joint review with whom, or a