

SENATOR HALL: ...into the Foster Care Review Board. And I don't...I tend to disagree with Senator Chambers' argument that somehow these individuals are best suited. I think there is others out there that could do similar...that have similar experiences that can provide just as good a job, I mean to think otherwise is to think that these folks could never be replaced or that they should always be replaced from within the Department of Social Services, the breeding ground for the problems that exist in our review system right now. I guess I don't think it is near as bad as it is purported to be, but that does not mean I don't think Foster Care Review Board does a good job in certain areas. But my question still remains is with regard to this section of the divided question, how is it going to work? And nobody has adequately answered that, even though the Schimek amendment says that they are not going to be transferred. When you strike that, the question is, are we adding five new employees in...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...one year, two, ten, five in the next. Are we actually...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: ...losing 11 and 1/2 employees?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Dierks, do you wish to speak to the Schimek amendment?

SENATOR DIERKS: No, I don't, not to the Schimek amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Beutler, do you wish to speak to the Schimek amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens, do you wish to speak to the Schimek amendment?

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. This time I will speak to the amendment, specifically to what Senator Hall was talking about, and I rise in support of the Schimek amendment. Senator Hall, in my checking with the department, Senator Avery I know wasn't deliberately, but he did