

Really, the purpose behind the amendment is simply to say the governing body does this already. They're the one responsible for the budget, they ought to submit it. All we do here, and Senator Jones was just asking if the amendment does not change the issue of whether or not that calculation has to be on the budget documents that are sent to the auditor on an annual basis. That's exactly what it does. It requires that that excess or anticipated aggregate receipts be listed so that it's clearly spelled out as a line item in those documents. I would urge the adoption of the amendment to clarify this amendment that was already adopted to the committee amendments and I would thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. The question before the body is the adoption of the Hall amendment to the committee amendments to LB 613. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of Senator Hall's amendment to the committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Hall amendment is adopted.

CLERK: Senator Hall, next amendment, Senator?

SENATOR HALL: Mr. Clerk, I'd like to withdraw that amendment and have it printed for Select File.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: It is so ordered.

CLERK: I have nothing further to the committee amendments at this time, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner, to close on the committee amendments.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, the committee amendment now as amended would include the Maurstad amendment as amended by Senator Hall's amendment, which extends the unused budget authority to either governmental entities, and then the two amendments to 613 that the Revenue Committee offered was to strike the outright repealer that was in the bill as introduced for the unused school budget authority. That will be continued as is the current law, and then the second one is it continues to allow the 1 percent over