

what it would be without the committee amendment. So again, to use an example from sports, but this time I'm going to include more of my colleagues and those who might just follow sporting events and talk about something dear to the heart of Senator Schellpeper, Hall, Will, et al, gambling. Senator Maurstad danced around a lot of issues and scored. In gambling there is what they call over and under. That's where you...the bookies will set an amount in a football game which you bet over or under and it's based on the combined score of the two teams. With a professional team it's usually in the mid-thirties, upper-thirties, maybe 37, 38. If it's a team like San Francisco it might get into the forties, but all you have to do is bet either that the combined score of the two teams will go over that amount or the combined score will go under that amount. You may be one of those people who feel you can't determine which team is going to win the game by the points set, but you think you can determine how many points might be scored so you will not bet on the team, either team. You'll bet the over or under. Some people may have a team like Nebraska which they favor and let's say the over/under, which in college games is a lot higher, is 50 points. Nebraska has 24 points. The other team has 24 points. You're under 50 'cause that total would be 48. You bet the over. The other team has the ball. They're driving to within field goal range so you're torn. If this team that you don't want to win does not score a field goal you're happy because Nebraska wins the game...well, it's a tie. It ends in a tie, but you lose your bet. So in this kind of society where capitalism is the driving force you say, well, Nebraska can come back another day but it's important for me to win my bet, so you cross your fingers, hold your breath, you don't challenge the goddess of betting by banking on the fact that the field goal will be made but you secretly hope that it will. They kick the field goal. They win 27 to 24. Your team loses but you win the bet. That's where Senator Maurstad has me. I don't like the bill, but I've got to vote for what Senator Maurstad is offering because it makes a better situation than the bill itself. There is no way I want to vote in favor of what Senator Maurstad has because it has that increase which is greater than I want. So, Senator Maurstad, you have put me in a position where I am torn. I don't know exactly what to do. If I was one of those people who carried a pistol or an automatic weapon I'd probably kill up everybody in here, then turn it on myself. Fortunately, I would have run out of bullets. At any rate, I'm going to vote for Senator Maurstad's amendment, I meant I'm going to vote for the committee amendment