

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Just briefly, and if Senator Landis, I appreciate his comments and particularly the point that he agrees the bill would still be a very good bill with the amendment even though he'll be voting no. The point I would like to close with is there are reasons that we have, the child labor laws. Regardless of whether they're gifted or regardless whether they are a unique student, regardless whether they're a special education student, or just a general student, we have child labor laws. We don't want them to, particularly when they're under 14 or under 16, working past eleven o'clock at night or working past eight o'clock at night if they are 14 or under. What this amendment would do is again, as Senator Landis said, they will focus on the, just on the areas that DED wanted, television movies, television documentaries, motion picture reproductions. That will be an exception but they still will be able to work. The gifted student for example who is 14-years-old can still do the others, they just have to follow the law that we have. They just won't be able to work if they're doing a radio thing, they won't be able to work if they're 14 and under past eight o'clock at night. The producer is going to have to find another time in the day to do that. They can still do the theatrical things, but if they're 16 and under they won't be able to go past eleven o'clock at night and the production manager would have to make an exception or try to find a way to make it work. I think it's good policy. I would like the body to support the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. The question before you is the adoption of the Bernard-Stevens' amendment to LB 330. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Well a point of order or a point of inquiry I guess, since we're in a process of voting, the time limit is not going to be a factor at this point and if it is agreed upon or if it's agreed upon or not that we still would readvance the bill because we've met the time limit restriction. Would that be a correct interpretation?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator, you have five minutes left and I'm not sure if I understand the question. Would you repeat it, please.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Would we have senators check into a