potential...technically, if all of them were at the maximum, which obviously they may not all be, you could...you may only have six potential loans. And since we are now modifying it to be not 250 but 100 or less, or less than 100, my sense is we're trying to get more areas of the state included. And so my feeling is if we limit it to $250,000, we will be able to have more grants out there if it's at the 3 million, and since this bill goes to Final Reading before the A bill will be discussed, in thinking that it probably will be reduced either to zero or some other number, the 250,000 would be a number, I think, that would be protected that all of us put in. So it's meant as a...as a serious amendment, not on the other difficulties we're going to have, but at this portion of it, and it doesn't change the substance of the bill but it does bring forward to put the maximum lid at or ceiling at 250 rather than the 500. And, with that, I would urge adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Chambers, to speak to the Bernard-Stevens amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I'm going to support Senator Bernard-Stevens' amendment because it's moving in the direction that I would have in mind. And Senator Wickersham is correct, because of amendments, the maximum that any of these big businesses could receive would be, Senator Wickersham, under the bill, as it would be amended by your amendment, or the amount existing as the bill currently is drafted, what is the maximum that a single business could have?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Five hundred thousand, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Five hundred thousand. And the 25 percent of the total fund is talking about the aggregate amount that...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...these large businesses...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And Senator Bernard-Stevens wants to reduce that 500,000 to 200,000. Is that correct?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Two hundred and fifty thousand.