

life. I think if we can lift somebody's burden we ought to do it. Some of these people might enjoy church better if they'd do something a little compassionate on occasion. But this is such a small amount of money we're talking about I don't even put it in the category of compassion. What Senator Crosby's amendment does is to show really to what level we have sunk as a Legislature and...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...as a society. I wonder if somebody would break out each one of these items, clothes, layette items, diapers, baby clothing, blankets, feeding supplies and personal care items, to determine just how much of each could be purchased with that \$71 a month for three months. It's nothing, it's shameful, it's insulting, it's demeaning. I'm glad Senator Crosby brought the amendment, though, because it shows once again every time we run to the ground one of Senator Pirsch's alleged reasons for bringing the amendment she says, well, that's not really my reason for it. So I don't think she has a reason. But nevertheless, the rest of us have reasons for what we did in putting the bill in its present shape and I hope we'll hold firm.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Madam Chairman, members of the body, I guess this discussion seems like it's going to go on for a long time, I might as well put in my two cents. I, you know, get tired of listening to the same voice there. You aren't as interesting today. You have to throw in a couple of, you know, things we don't know much about, not the sex education stuff. You know I asked my mother about that. Mom, how come se...you had no sex education in school and you had eleven children? And she just laughed. You know if you look at this graph here, the big increase there was happening about 1972, which was when I was a senior in high school. And I remember at the time that the government programs that they were initiating then into the public schools was for a lot of the sex education classes. And the reason that they gave at that time was because of the upward trend of out-of-wedlock births. And so they initiated at that time a lot of government programs. And it was supposed to stop that upward trend. And if you look at this graph you see that not only did it stop it, it's accelerated if nothing else. When are we going to admit that a lot of these programs don't work?