

specifically listed under Rule 2, Section 11. However, I think I would point out that in the course of my being in the Legislature there have been enumerable times when members have requested a point of personal privilege, presiding officer, whether it's been the Lieutenant Governor, me, anybody else that was sitting up there, Senator Baack, Senator Barrett, Senator Nichol, whoever was sitting in the Chair have granted it. And we tend to grant it and allow it for measures such as individuals giving out treats for their birthdays, recognition, announcing that there have been new additions to the families, any number of sorts of things. It has been...it has been allowed enumerable times for those sort of announcements, personal announcements. Senator Pedersen asked for a question of personal privilege to indicate that he was giving a tour of the correction facilities. Any number of those things that do not fit under the rights, dignity and integrity of the Legislature collectively, none of those things fit under this definition. It has become, through custom, our way of allowing members to make personal announcements. On the other hand, it's open to abuse. That any member with that interpretation, any member can stand at any time to request a point of personal privilege and use that to be argumentative on any position that that individual may want. It's the first time I remember anybody objecting to a point of personal privilege. I think in a technical sense Senator Chambers is correct. I think Senator Robinson, given the use of the point of personal privilege over the years, was certainly within what he viewed as a proper use of this. And I don't fault him for being somewhat piqued here by being called to order on this. You know, given that, I don't really know how I'm going to vote on the motion to overrule the chair because a technical interpretation of the rule, Senator Chambers, is correct. Given our custom over the years, I believe Senator Robinson, in asking to...that the Legislature consider a commendation relative to the end of the war in Europe is using this properly. Perhaps the more appropriate methodology would be to have done this through resolution, and maybe that would be the best way to get out of a bind we're in, where Senator Chambers is technically correct in his interpretation of the rules, but Senator Robinson is invoking a privilege that in the past has been granted to members to stand and make points. Perhaps the best way to do this would be to have this motion withdrawn, to shortly, maybe when we convene at one o'clock, to amend the agenda to allow for consideration of a quickly drafted legislative resolution honoring the individuals participating in World War II and the end of the war. That