

May 8, 1995

LB 658

SENATOR ROBINSON: I would like to overrule the ruling of the Chair.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Robinson, based on what; would you give me your argument, please, as to how this is a point of personal privilege.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I think in the words that I've said for my point of personal privilege explains that.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator, the...this is...this is a debatable motion as to overruling the Chair on my interpretation of Rule 2, Section...

SENATOR ROBINSON: Can you waive the rule? Can you as the President waive the rule?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator, I cannot, but you may file a motion to suspend the rule.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Okay, okay, okay, I would like to do so.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Point of order.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Before we get to you, Senator Chambers, Senator Robinson, it would be appropriate at this time to overrule my motion. It is not appropriate at this time to make a motion to suspend the rules.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I'll move to overrule your motion then.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any discussion on the motion to overrule the Chair on the interpretation of the personal privilege? Senator...we have lights on the board, and I believe some of those lights may be for the next amendment, so I'll go through those lights first. Senator Pedersen, do you wish to speak to the motion? Senator Wesely, do you wish to speak to the motion? Senator Maurstad, do you wish to speak to the motion? Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes, Madam President, I just want to speak on the concept of personal privilege and just make a comment because it is something that I've wondered about over the years. I think Senator Chambers is correct in a literal interpretation of the rule that the personal privilege involves these items