

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...would you be in favor of once again referring to a birth out of wedlock as an illegitimate birth? Would you be in favor of that?

SENATOR PIRSCH: I don't know in what context, no.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In every con...well, in every context...oh, you're not in favor of that?

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well, I...where...where would illegitimate be, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Whenever a reference is made to the birth of any person out of wedlock, wherever it is made. If we're talking about legislation, we use the term illegitimate birth.

SENATOR PIRSCH: I...I am not talking about illegitimate. What I am talking about is the...was in the article that I read where they talked about the acceptance of unmarried mothers with babies and the abandonment of those families by the father.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Don't some people believe that the way to modify conduct of which you disapprove is to attach a heavy stigma to it so that shame will prevent people from doing...

SENATOR PIRSCH: Well,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...what perhaps religion and (interruption).

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...I think that probably did work in the past.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So would you be in favor of going back to that and applying the term illegitimate to these out-of-wedlock births?

SENATOR PIRSCH: I don't think that our society could go back to that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let's forget our society. We are the ones who are supposed to set the trend and the policy, and sometimes it means swimming against the tide of society. So in disregard of what this society, which from time to time you all seem to think is too permissive, would you be willing to disregard what