

May 5, 1995

LB 209

SENATOR AVERY: No, it wouldn't be. Part of the pleas...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to get a vote.... Oh, go ahead.

SENATOR AVERY: Part of the pleasure is being out, being with others, socializing, many other factors go in...into... into the enjoyment in this type of sport.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you have to kill animals to really get the full enjoyment of it? It wouldn't do any good, as far as you're concerned, to shoot blanks. You know, you could still make a lot of noise. You could still put the animal in terror and you could still get the thrill of the recoil of the gun and have smoke and fire come out of it and make noise but you just wouldn't be able to kill anything. If you take away the killing the real pleasure of hunting is taken away, isn't it? The kill is necessary as a part of it, isn't it?

SENATOR AVERY: Not in my case.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you feel better when you kill an animal or when you miss it when you shoot at it?

SENATOR AVERY: I feel the same sometimes. It doesn't matter. I like to...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I thought you told...

SENATOR AVERY: ..watch them.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I thought you told me earlier that you do feel better after you've killed one of these animals than before.

SENATOR AVERY: No, you didn't... I didn't say better after I kill. I said I do...there is a pleasure in... in the sport and the challenge of being...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there...

SENATOR AVERY: ..able to complete it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there pleasure that comes from the actual kill?