

packing areas where they deal with food and that. There's strict regulations on sanitation and things like that, so I would believe that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We're just talking about killing the animal. Do the laws require that these animals presently be killed with a sterile implement?

SENATOR AVERY: I'm not sure, but I think there are regulations on... on how the process is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So...

SENATOR AVERY: I don't know that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..so if you're going to hit a cow in the head with a hammer you have to sterilize the hammer first to make sure that it's germ-free. Is that true?

SENATOR AVERY: I don't know, but I know that there are regulations in the process in how they're handled.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If you're going to slit a pig's throat or a sheep's throat do you have to use a sterile knife as you would in an operation?

SENATOR AVERY: I don't know that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why would you have to use a sterile bullet then? All you're doing is killing the animal. What is there worse about killing a cow, pig, chicken or whatever in this killing area, what is there more objectionable about that than killing these birds in these enclosed areas? What's objectionable about it? Neither animal understands what you're going to do to it, so why is it objectionable? Is there something that rises up in the hunter that says this is not sporting? Is that what the problem really is, it's not sporting?

SENATOR AVERY: I would consider it, no, it wouldn't be sporting to me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why would it not be sporting? Suppose you made the animal run through the room and you had to shoot it while it's running. Is that more sporting?