

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I would agree with that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And if some distance, and I don't know what it should be either, less than two miles would be suggested, if I understand you correctly, you're not opposed to a reasonable distance being incorporated into this legislation.

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Thank you. That's all that I have to ask you. I thought that's what Senator Schrock was saying and, as far as his discussion went, I think he was very reasonable. If he could just be as reasonable when it comes to the underlying issue of killing animals. I want to ask him another question though, since he's here and Senator Avery... Oh, Senator Avery's back. Senator Avery, I would like to ask you a question. Senator Schrock had answered a couple of those that I had intended to ask you. I consider you the resident expert here this morning.

SENATOR AVERY: Boy, that's a big consideration.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, these animal...these birds that are brought down are all game birds, is that true?

SENATOR AVERY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what envisioned.

SENATOR AVERY: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do these game birds have very gaudy, bright-colored feathers and plumage?

SENATOR AVERY: Some do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think that those birds were given that plumage so that when hunting was to become the vogue it would be easier for hunters to see them, draw head and kill them? Do you think that's why they're gaudily colored?

SENATOR AVERY: Uh, I don't think so on that. It's for I believe mating purposes, but maybe they were. I think they probably were, as a hunter, so I could tell the males from the